

Mailing Date: July 16, 2002: Client: Shipley (70329)

Attorney/Sec: PFC/dmr Docket No .:

51334

Inventors: Tsuchida et al.

Serial No.: 10/010,193

Filing Date: December 5, 2001

The dating stamp of the Patent and Trademark Office hereon will be taken as the date of filing of:

Petition to Correct Correspondence Filing Date for Date-In Incorrectly Entered or Omitted on Express Mail Label; Declaration of Deanna M. Rivernider; Copy of the first page of Correspondence showing both date and Express Mail Label No.; Completion of Filing Requirements; Executed Declaration and Power of Attorney; Assignment Recordation Cover Sheet; Executed Assignment Document; Transmittal of Certified Copy; Certified copy of JP 2000-370340; and July 18, 2002

Practitioner's	Docket No	51334
riacillioners	DOCKEL NO.	11 3 24

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Tsuchida et Application No.: 10/010,193 Filed: December 5, 2001 For: NON-CHARGING RESIN COMPOS	al. Group No. 1773 Examiner: SITE AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THE SAME
Patent No.*:	Issue date:
*NOTE: Preferably also insert inventor's name	and invention title.
Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231	
FOR "DATE-IN" INCORR ON EXPRI	CORRESPONDENCE FILING DATE ECTLY ENTERED OR OMITTED ESS MAIL LABEL F.R. § 1.10(d))
NOTE: See § 513, M.P.E.P., 7th Edition.	
1. This is a petition to accord the com-	espondence in the above-identified
application	
patent	
a filing date of 12/05/01 (Date), ited with the U.S.P.S. with proper posta	which is the date the correspondence was depos- ge.
2. Submitted herewith is the statement when the discrepancy was discovered promptly thereafter. 37 C.F.R. § 1.10(d)(	and establishing that this petition is being filed
both the date on which this correspor	te of the correspondence which was filed, showing adence was mailed as Express Mail Label No. ber of the Express Mail was placed thereon prior (d)2).
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a))
I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the	e date shown below, being:
MAILING	FACSIMILE
Date: 1116/c3	transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703)
Date:	Signature
	Deanna M. Rivernider
(Petition to Accord Correspondence Filing Date F	(type or print name of person certifying)  For "Date-in" Incorrectly Entered or Omitted on Express Mail
	1.51 (0.44) . 4 (0)

4.	Correction is required because:				
		the wrong "Date-In" was entered by the U.S.P.S. on the envelope delivered to the P.T.O., but the correct date was entered on Express Mail label.			
		the wrong "Date-In" was entered by the U.S.P.S. on the original "Express Mail' label and on the envelope delivered to the P.T.O.			
	. 🕱	Deposit of correspondence at an Express Mail drop box and wrong date ento by U.S.P.S. *			
		Other:			
		*Copy of the Customer Receipt of the Express Mail Label was			

5. The following establish the showing required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.10(d)(3):

not received from the U.S.P.S.

NOTE: Evidence that came into being within one day after the deposit of the correspondence as "Express Mail" may be in the form of a log book which contains information such as the "Express Mail" number, the application number, attorney docket number or other such file identification number; the place, date and time of deposit; the time of the last scheduled pick-up for that date and place of deposit; the depositor's initials or signature; and the date and time of entry in the log. A notation in a log book, entered after deposit by the person who deposited the correspondence as "Express Mail" within one business day of such deposit, setting forth the items indicated above, would be deemed on petition to be an adequate showing of the date of deposit under 37 CFR 1.10(d)(3).

The reason the PTO considers correspondence to have been filed as of the date of deposit as "Express Mail" is that this date has been verified by a disinterested USPS employee, through the insertion of a "date-in," or other official USPS notation, on the "Express Mail" mailing label. Due to the questionable reliability of evidence from a party-other than the USPS that did not come into being contemporaneously with the deposit of the correspondence with the USPS, 37 CFR 1.10(d) specifically requires that any petition under 37 CFR 1.10(d) be corroborated either by evidence from the USPS, or by evidence that came into being after deposit and within one business day after the deposit of the correspondence as "Express Mail."

A petition alleging that the USPS erred in entering the "date-in" will be denied if it is supported only by evidence (other than from the USPS) which was:

- (1) created prior to the deposit of the correspondence as "Express Mail" with the USPS (e.g., an application transmittal cover letter, or a client letter prepared prior to the deposit of the correspondence); or
- (2) created more than one business day after the deposit of the correspondence as "Express Mail" (e.g., an afficiavit or declaration prepared more than one business day after the correspondence was deposited with the USPS as "Express Mail".

37 CFR 1.10(d)(3) further provides that a party must show that correspondence was deposited as "Express Mail" before the last scheduled pickup on the requested filing date in order to obtain a filing date as of that date.

(Petition to Accord Correspondence Filing Date For "Date-in" Incorrectly Entered or Omitted on Express Mail Label—[8-14]-page 2 of 3)

Li	Evidence from the U.S.P.S.	as follows:	•
		į si	f. ,
<u> </u>	express Mail Log that Came of the correspondence in the of the U.S.P.S.	into being within one business day of the deg e "Express Mail Post Office to Addresse" ser	oosit vice
П	Other:		
	<b>J J J J J J J J J J</b>		
	•		
	,		
6. The c	estition for 127 CED 5 4 47%	2100.00	4
	cetition fee (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h)		
(X)		noney order in the amount of \$ 130.00	<del></del>
X		to charge the amount of \$	
	to Deposit Account No.	04-1105	
	tion form PTO-2038.	on the attached credit card information author	iza-
WARNING	: Credit card information should not	be included on this form as it may become public.	
X		equired by this paper or credit any overpaym	ent
A d	uplicate of this paper is attach	ed.	
	. ,		
4			
	•		,
	•	•	
Reg. No.:	33,860	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER	<del></del>
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Tel. No.: ( i	617 ) 523–3400	Atype or print name of practitioner)	<del></del>
	, J2J=J <del>4</del> UU	Edwards & Angell, LLP	
		Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman	, IP Group
Customer I	No.:	P.O. Address P.O. Box 9169	
		Boston, MA 02209	
			· •

(Petition to Accord Correspondence Fiting Date For "Date-in" Incorrectly Entered or Cmitted on Express Mail Label—[8-14]-page 3 of 3)